## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

	·
United States of America,	) Case No. CR 13-317-EMC-1
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL TO THE
Zandall Ash, Defendant.	) MAY 2 1 2013
by the continuance outweigh the best interest of the 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this finding and	non 1 , 2013, the Court excludes in the moder the 1 , 2013 and finds that the ends of finitice served the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance wou See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ld be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
or law, that it is unreasonable to exitself within the time limits establis	ex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact pect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial hed by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuance would	d deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would	d unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given mitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
Failure to grant a continuance would necessary for effective preparation, to See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	d unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: Swill	
	JOSEPH C. SPERO United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney
.gt.# .c. .c	\frac{1}{4}